G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No 184

1923.

(Jan 22)

Serial Nos 1–6

Later references.

May 22/p 113–34

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

Part B for Feb 21.

SUBJECT.

notice as an
avenue
feature Yilak

WD 223J—GPB—20,000—11 6 28

G.R.S. 11] 1913-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Misc)

NOTES.

File No

FILE HEADING.

Investment in the Govt Savings Bank of the amount awarded as compensation for the land acquired for extending and improving the P.W. Workshop & Stores.

From The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dt.

No. S. 278 Dated 12.9.13 Received 13.9.13

Diary No. 584	Enclos.	Spare Copies	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

V

N°-36/226 9.10.13

P-38-Pw-I: 16.1.14

States that an extent of 3a 11 guntas has been acquired by the P.W.D and a compensation of Rs 2646-2-5 paid by the acquisition officer and requests that, as the descendants of the Khatedar (late Maniar Chetty) of the land have agreed the amount being endowed as Dharmadayi fund in favor of a temple close by, the amount may be ordered to be deposited in the Govt Savings Bank, the interest accruing thereon being utilized for the maintenance etc of the temple which may also be taken under Govt management.

The name of the temple is not given. The temple does not appear to enjoy either cash grant or

~~NOTES AND ORDERS.~~

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15 6-12

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mwz File No. 184 of 1913

Serial No.

loud miam.

The owners of the land are reported to have deposed before the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk that the property acquired is intended for the benefit of the public and in case the Govt is not willing to comply with their request they would deposit the amount in the Govt Savings Bank in the name of the temple.

Draft making the necessary enquiry and stating that there is no objection to the amount being deposited in the Govt Savings Bank in the name of the temple is subd

Pr(65) RL
9/10
16/10/13

abd
Le
M.

2 To the Dy. Comm. Bangalore Dt.
No 1196 & 31.10.13 Calls for information on points connected with the temple.

3 From the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dt.
Letter No. S.472 dated 27.11.13. Sri. Anjaneyaswamy
Reports that the temple is not a Mukrai institution with any endowment from Govt, that the proprietors of the temple desire that

Pub. ... (illegible)
Dispatch

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

ue

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mwz File No. 184 of 1913.

Serial No.

the amount of compensation maybe held in deposit ~~for~~ with a view to the interest thereon being utilized for Padikara & Deeparadhane in the temple and that the Land Acquisition officer has also ordered that Govt sanction may be obtained in the matter before payment of Compensation is made to the owners.

4 If the temple is to be taken under Govt management as suggested by the Dy Commissioner, it appears necessary that a regular enquiry has to be instituted by the Dy Court as to who are all the claimants to the property ~~and~~ and whether they all agree to the temple with property endowed thereto being taken under Govt management in order to avoid unnecessary complications later on.

Z 5 As the temple is not a Muzrai institution, acceptance of Peduvat funds made by private individuals for non-Muzrai institution, appears to be a matter within the powers of the Muzrai Superintendent.

Subd 6 Annual interest would come to Rs 82-11-0. Pnk(65) 29/11 This is a question of a non Muz: Instn. being proposed to be taken under Govt management, and an endorsement

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11 13

Serial No.

being accepted, the interest accruing thereon being utilized for certain services in the temple. If the present managers of the institution can undertake to continue to manage the affairs thereof efficiently, the acceptance of the endowment falls under the authority of the Mysore Govt. but the Dy Comr recommend the Inam being taken under Govt management.

2 In this case, it is necessary to know the Extent of the endowments the institution enjoys, the present scale of Expn, and the scale of income Expn proposed for adoption in future if the endowment be accepted.

3 Further reports on these points may if approved be called for from the Dy Comr.

Draft Submitted for approval herein.

RL
29-11-13

Approved
RL
4.12.13

4 To the Dy. Comr. Bangalore Dt.
No. 15848 dt. 11-12-13 Calls for further information regarding the temple

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11 13

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

1272

Revenue File No. 184 of 1903

Serial No.

From, The Hy Comm Ernakulam C.S.
Letter No. 2210 A, dt. 1-10-20
Rep that the temple at Mulavukad, a
good condition, and worship therein regularly
conducted but the devotees are contributing
towards its expenditure, that the boundary
which is a new masonry wall is incomplete
by a Committee who are willing to undertake
of having it over to Govt, and the
the Committee pray that the Govt may
amount viz Rs 2642-2-5 now lying
invested in the name of the Govt
the interest being utilized for
pay &c of the watchman and
musicians employed &c.

To Collector, Cochin
Rep 7-1-21. 7.1.21

Note: The Govt is not a party to
The Managers will in fact the
Compensation money &c
-tolus ~~and~~ for the
interests of the temple.

12-1-21

(Copy)

sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-1-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

The Question referred to Govt in 913 was whether they were willing to take up the management of the institution a Non-Muzoorai one and certain additional information was called for in the same year. In Dec 1920, after seven years, the Dy Comm reports that the Committee are not willing to hand over the Management to Govt.

Of the Committee are recognized by the D.C. office and found to consist of Hindus and the Compensation awarded/paid for the temple land acquired by the P.W.D, it is open to the said Committee to invest the sum in the Govt Savings Bank or in any other manner they may deem fit, without the orders of Govt.

Draft 20/14/1/21

14.1.21

DOE. Secretary

15-1-21

Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.	File No. of 19

3752-38
18-1-21

Serial No.

(Second Member) U.J. 18/1/21

Draft approved by the Dewan.
23.1.21.

Dewan
U.J. 1/2/21

Note—Contn. sheet.

1913-14

DIARY No. 584

10/3/1914
SECTION Genl.
FILE No. 184-12
SERIAL No. 1

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments

Dated Bangalore 13th September 1913.

Despatched

Received

General No. [illegible]
No.

Enclosure No.

Requests that a sum of Rs 2646-2-5 awarded as compensation to the lands acquired for the extension of P W. Work-shop and Stores may be ordered to be kept in Savings Bank deposit and sanction accorded for taking the temple under muzrai management.

GPB—50,000—26-9 12

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
BANGALORE DISTRICT,
DATED, BANGALORE 12 Sept 1913.

From,

K. Chundy Esq. B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To,

K.R. Srinivasa Iyengar Esq. M.A.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Genl. & Rev. Depts.

Sir,

Adverting to P.W.D. Secretary's No. 35/225 dated 10 January 1913 sanctioning the acquisition of 3 acres 11 Guntas out of Old Survey No. 114 of Sampigehally Village, Bangalore Taluk, for the extension of the P.W. Workshop and Stores, Bangalore, I have the honour to state that the acquisition was completed by the Civil Officer and Personal Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner, who was authorized to take order for the acquisition.

2. The descendants of the Khatedar mannar Chetty under whose possession and

and management the property acquired exists, have given a statement before the Amildar of Bangalore Taluk to the effect that the property is intended for the benefit of the public; that the Government may be pleased to hold in deposit the Compensation that may be paid by them as Pudavah Funds of the temple and to devote the interest accruing therefrom to the maintenance and puja of the temple; and that, in case the Government are not willing to do so, the amount will be received by them and kept in the Bank in the name of the temple.

3- I, therefore, request that the sum of Rs 2,646-2-5 awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer as Compensation may be ordered to be kept in Savings Bank Deposit and Sanction accorded for taking the temple under ~~my~~ Govt management.

I

management.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

K Chaudy

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Investment in the Govt Savings Bank in the name of the Temple.

(I.R.S. 98)

WIb No. 184-13

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 584

No. 1196

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Mysore)
, *the* 19 .

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

31 10 - 1913

Sir,

Signed by W. G. Narasimmaiengar

Adverting to your letter No. S. 278 dated 12th September '13 requesting that a sum of Rs 2646-2-5 awarded as compensation for 3a 11G of land in the Sampigehalli Village Bangalore Taluk acquired for extending and improving the P.W. Workshop and Stores may be ordered to be deposited in the Govt Savings

over.

SUBJECT

Bank in the name of a certain temple close by and recommending sanction to the temple also being taken under Govt management I am directed to ~~observe that the~~ name of the temple in whose account the amount in question has to be deposited is not stated and it is not known whether the temple is a Muzrai institution or not.

2. I am however to state that there is no objection to the amount being deposited in the Govt Savings Bank in the name of ~~the temple~~.

I have etc
for Secy.

Request urgent reply on the following points. —

1) What is the name of temple referred to.
2) Is it a Muzrai institution
3) Amount of Rs 600 as stated in Govt cash if any may be submitted.
Under whose management is it at
4) Why should it be taken under Govt management

An early reply is requested.

PWC(65)
10/10

16/10/13

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28 2-11.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

DIARY No. 1000

1913-1914
SECTION Misc.
FILE No. 184-13
SERIAL No. 3

To

To the Secretary to Government,
General and Revenue Depts.
Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched 29. 11. 1913.

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Re- The lands acquired for P. W. D
Workshop. Stated that the
temple & tope in question is not
under the management of Mamm.
Setty.

GPB—50,000—26 9 12

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

Dated Bangalore, 27th November 1913.

No.----

From

K.Chandy Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

K.R.Sreenivasa Iyengar Esq., M.A.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue

Departments, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1196/Muz.184-1312 dated 31st October 1913, in regarding to 3 acres - 11 guntas of land in the Sampagehalli village, Bangalore Taluk, acquired for extending and improving the Public Works Work-shop and Stores, requesting to furnish information on certain points in connection with the temple therein situated, I have the honor to state as follows:-

1. The name of the temple is SriAnjaneyaswami Temple.

2. It is not a Muzrai Institution and has no endowment of any kind.

3. It is now under the management of the descendents of one Mannar Chetty who originally built the temple and planted the tope adjoining the temple for the benefit of the temple.

4. The present proprietors and managers of the temple have given a statement to the ffect that the temple and the tope are intended for the benefit of the public; that any compensation that may be paid by Government for the lands acquiredfor the P.W.Work shop will have to be held by them in deposit and the interest -- accruing therefrom used for conducting the Paditara Diparadhane of the temple and that if the Government chooses they may take the temple under the management and keep the amount in deposit as cash endowment to the temple. Accordingly the Land Acquisition officer has ordered to obtain the orders of Government in the --

the matter of their taking the temple under Muzrai management and holding the compensation payable in deposit as cash endowment to the temple before payment is made to the owners of the land and temple. Hence the reference in question.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

L.S.R.
1.

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 84-13

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 158

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191*

To

The Dy Cmr

Banj: Dt

Date of issue 11-12-1913

Sir,

Signed by W G Aravamudachar

With ref to your letter No. S. 472 dated the 27th Nov 13 recommending the acceptance of a pudwat of Rs 2642-2-5 awarded as compensation for land acquired in Sampigehalli village Bangalore Taluk and the utilization of the interest thereon for service in the Sri Anjaneya Swami Temple situated at the place ~~at Sampigehalli, Bangalore Taluk~~,

over.

SUBJECT.

I am directed to request that
further enquiries may be furnished to this
Office on the following points:—

1) Are not the present managers
of the Temple willing to retain
the temple in their management and if so whether
2) Is their management efficient? it would not
3) If not, Why should not take over be preferable
the management of the institution? to continue the
existing arrangement

are there any other
4) What is the value of the endowments
in cash or land
now enjoyed by the temple?
3. Is the temple building in good condition
4) What is the present scale
of income & expenditure of the temple?

6) If the endowment (recommended be) accepted,
what is the scale of Expenditure (Salt,
Nitre Kalle & Heleu Kalle)
which will have to be employed
should you recommend?

I am to request that a full report may be made on
the Exchequer above points may be sent
as early as possible.

List of enclosures,

Have re

Copied by R.S. 10/12

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8 8 11.

1272

20-21

misc

No C. 2610 of 1920-21. 164-13 K 5
one Vernacular File

From

V. A. Narayana Iyengar, Esq. B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

T. P. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 184-13-4 dated [illegible] further information re the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at [illegible] the Bangalore Taluk, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Bangalore Taluk reports that the temple is not a Muzrai temple and is not endowed with any cash grant or Inam lands. The present condition and worship in the temple is being carried on by the [illegible] of the devotees have formed a committee amongst themselves for the management of the temple and are subscribing both in cash and in kind for its expenditure as detailed in the margin on account of the temple. The [illegible] in favour of hereditary [illegible] subject to Government control. All that is desired now by this committee is that the sum of Rs. 2642-2-5 being the compensation for the acquisition of the land belonging to the temple should be invested in the name of the temple and that they say from the interest derived, namely Rs. 13-12-0 a watchman on Rs. 7/- and musicians on Rs. 6-12-0 will be employed.

Cash.

Pay to archak at Rs. 10/- per month,
-do- paricharaka at Rs. 5/- -do-
Contingencies at Rs. 2/- -do-

Kind.

Rice 15 seers per month
Oil ¼ maund per month.

2. The Vernacular records, together with the Amildar's report are herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

V. A. Narayana Iyengar

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

1920.

From The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore district.

To The Secretary to Govt Revenue Dept. Bangalore

Dated 10th December 1920

Despatched 13th December 1920

Received

General No. 02. 2610 of 1920-4

No.

Enclosure No. one file.

Further information re the fire Anjaneyaswami at Sampigehalli.

Submits the subject file & Vernacular records for

G.R.S. 26]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Section

File No. 1 4

Serial No. 6

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191* .

No.

Date of issue 3. 2. 2"

Signed by Mar. S. Eg. Asst.

To

The Hon. Agent

Bangalore & Dist.

Sir,

Adverting to the enclosure
Existing bill upon which no.
2610 Dt. 13th December 1920
furnishing information
about Sri Rajamaya
Swami Temple at Sam-
pigehalli, Bangalore
Taluk, I am directed to
state that under the

over.

circumstances reported by you,
Govt are not prepared
to take up the management of the Temple.
~~I must~~ I am further
to state that if the
Committee are recognized
by the A. D. officer, and found
by him to be entitled to the
Compensation awarded for the
Temple land acquired by the
P.W.D., it is open to the
said committee to invest
the sum in the Post
Savings' Bank or in any
other manner they may
deem fit, without the aid
of Govt.

(2) The words are ——— well
hereby returned 23.1.21

I have etc.

17/1/21

Copied by

Examined by

DA/ - for Secretary

Rd 17.1.21

GPB—20,000—14-2-14

List of enclosures.